



MINNESOTA BOARD AND SOIL RESOURCES BOARD  
 Wetland Conservation Act 2010 Annual Reporting Form

Use the tab key to navigate between fields.

Local Government Unit (LGU): **SIBLEY** County (if different):

Name of Person Completing Report: **Kevin Pioske** Title: *DISTRICT TECHNICIAN* Contact #: 507-237-5435

Does your LGU receive WCA funding from the Natural Resources Block Grant (NRBG)?

- Yes, directly from BWSR.  Yes, transferred from the County or SWCD.  
 No, we do not receive any NRBG funding.

**NOTE:** Completion of this report is required for all of Minnesota's LGUs. It must be received by the BWSR St. Paul office on or before February 1, 2011. See the accompanying instructions for details on selected questions.

- Number of **landowner contacts** in which wetland related technical assistance was provided during the calendar year: **26-50**
- Number of applications** that were:

Type of Application	# Approved	# Denied	# Withdrawn
A. Boundary or Type	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
B. No-Loss	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
C. Exemption	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
D. Sequencing	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
E. Replacement Plan *	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

\*Do not include local road authority notifications for projects that qualify for replacement under the BWSR Local Government Roads Wetland Replacement Program (road program) according to MN Rule 8420-0544.

- Number of **exemptions approved** and acres of wetland impact (to nearest 0.01 acre) for each category from MN Rule 8420.0420 (provide best estimate for impacts that are not easily quantified):

Type of Exemption	Number of Approved Exemptions	Acres of Wetland Permanently Impacted *
Subp. 2. Agricultural activities.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 3. Drainage.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 4. Federal approvals.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 5. Restored wetlands.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 6. Utilities.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 7. Forestry.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 8. De minimis.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 9. Wildlife habitat.	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Total:	<b>0</b>	<b>0.00</b>

\*See WCA reporting instructions.

- Number of **replacement plans approved** that replace impacts by the following methods:
  - Wetland Banking: **0**
  - Project-Specific Replacement: **0**
  - Combination of Wetland Banking and Project-Specific Replacement: **0**

5. Acres of wetland to be **impacted** via an approved replacement plan (to nearest 0.01 acre): **0.00**
6. For approved replacement plans, list the **project-specific replacement\*** acres (to nearest 0.01 acre) and corresponding credit amounts approved in the following categories from MN Rule 8420.0526:

Action Eligible for Credit	Acres	Repl. Credit
Subp. 2. Upland buffer areas.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 3. Restoration of completely drained or filled wetland areas.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 4. Restoration of partially drained or filled wetland areas.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 5. Vegetative restoration of farmed wetlands.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 6. Protection of wetlands previously restored via conservation easement.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 7. Wetland creations.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 8. Restoration and protection of exceptional natural resource value.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
Subp. 9. Preservation of wetlands owned by the state or a local unit of government.	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>
<b>Total:</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.00</b>

\*Report project-specific replacement only. Replacement via banking is accounted for via BWSR's banking database.

7. For project-specific **replacement wetlands**, list the number of each completed or received:

A. Construction Site Inspected	B. Corrective Actions Ordered	C. Monitoring Reports Received	D. Findings of Satisfactory Replacement
<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

8. Number of potential violation sites investigated: **0**
9. Number of **enforcement actions** that were taken **under local ordinances** and/or that did not result in DNR-issued cease and desist, restoration, or replacement orders (including informal resolution of violations): **0**
10. Number of **local appeals** heard: **0**
11. Does the LGU have a MN WDCP **certified delineator** available (excluding BWSR or SWCD)?  
 On staff:  On call (i.e. consultant):  Not at all:
12. For LGU staff responsible for implementing WCA, please provide the following for **WCA/wetland training attended** this reporting year (attach additional if necessary):

Employee Name	Employee Title	Sponsoring Organization	Session Title	From Date	To Date	Total Number of Days
<b>Loren Evenson</b>	<b>District Manager</b>	<b>BWSR</b>	<b>Administrative Training</b>	<b>2/24/10</b>	<b>2/24/10</b>	<b>1.00</b>
<b>Kevin Pioske</b>	<b>Technician</b>	<b>BWSR</b>	<b>Administrative Training</b>	<b>2/24/10</b>	<b>2/24/10</b>	<b>1.00</b>
				<b>1/1/10</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>	<b>0.00</b>
				<b>1/1/10</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>	<b>0.00</b>
				<b>1/1/10</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>	<b>0.00</b>
				<b>1/1/10</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>	<b>0.00</b>
				<b>1/1/10</b>	<b>12/31/10</b>	<b>0.00</b>

13. Optional: Please provide information regarding unusual circumstances, time spent on enforcement or major violations, banking application reviews, known exempt activity for which a formal decision was not made, additional detail or clarification of above data, or any other information or comments you would like to share (2,500 characters max).

***PLEASE ADD ADDITIONAL COMMENTS HERE.***

***Calendar year 2010 was very quiet in terms of Wetland Conservation Act activity.***

***There were no violations in 2010; no cease-and-desist orders issued; and no restoration orders issued.***

***Two road replacement plans were received from the Sibley County Department of Public works. The projects involved road re-alignments as a result of ongoing rehabilitation of the local railroad. These projects were both noticed and approved after a TEP meeting with County officials, the BWSR wetland specialist, and a representative of the Army corps of Engineers. Replacement wetland credits were arranged through the BWSR Local Government Roads Wetland Replacement Program. Construction work was not completed in 2010. there were no other replacement plan applications received in 2010.***

***Eight "Landowner and Contractor Responsibility" documents were issued for maintenance projects. these projects had received NRCS/USDA approval, and did not involve wetland impacts.***

***Two drainage ditch clean-outs were monitored; one public, and one private. Wetland impacts were avoided. Landowners were cooperative in both situations.***

**Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources**  
**Wetland Conservation Act 2010 Annual Reporting - Instructions**

**Requirement**

Beginning with calendar year 2010, annual reporting of Wetland Conservation Act (WCA) implementation activities is required for all of Minnesota's WCA local government units (LGUs) in accordance with MN Rule 8420.0200, Subp. 2, Item I. This reporting requirement applies regardless of whether or not the LGU obtains any WCA funding from BWSR. The form is nearly identical to the 2009 form, with a few minor modifications, and is now entirely consistent with the new WCA Rule. To submit the report:

- 1) Complete and save the form as a word document to your computer (do not convert to .pdf or other format). Retain the form for future reference or needed corrections.
- 2) E-mail the completed form to [bwsr.grants@state.mn.us](mailto:bwsr.grants@state.mn.us) no later than February 1, 2011. Use your LGU name as the subject line of the e-mail. Note: Only send completed reporting forms to this address. Do not send questions or other correspondence.
- 3) The completed form must be received by BWSR no later than February 1, 2011. The "sent" date of the e-mail will be used to track the date of receipt.
- 4) Your [Wetland Specialist](#) will review the data and may contact you with questions or possible corrections.

Those without e-mail can mail or fax a paper copy of the completed form to:

[Michelle Lewis](#)  
Attn: WCA Reporting  
Minnesota Board of Water and Soil Resources  
520 Lafayette Road North  
St. Paul, MN 55155  
Fax: 651-297-5615

**Relationship to Natural Resources Block Grant (NRBG)**

Completion and submittal of this Annual Reporting Form is required of all LGUs regardless of whether or not NRBG funding is provided. However, for NRBG grantees that distribute funds to WCA LGUs, each of those LGUs must be listed when completing their NRBG eLINK reporting. The county must also ensure that each WCA LGU submits a separate WCA Annual Report according to the instructions above. The county will not receive NRBG funds until all reporting requirements are met.

**Timeframe and Context**

In general, the data provided should be based on WCA activities for which the LGU has issued a final decision, the application has been withdrawn, or the issue resolved during the 2010 calendar year (see question 1 guidance for exception). The approved activities need not have been implemented in order to be reported. Projects still under review but not approved by the LGU at the end of the calendar year should not be reported until the year in which a decision has been made or they are otherwise resolved. For those SWCDs or Counties who previously compiled and submitted summary data for multiple LGUs within their area, a separate form must be submitted for each individual LGU. LGU's who receive assistance in implementing WCA from a County, SWCD, or Watershed District need to coordinate with them to ensure accurate and complete information is submitted.

## Question-Specific Guidance

The following guidance items are numbered to correspond to the numbered items in the reporting form:

1. The purpose of this question is to gather information on LGU workload. Landowner is a general term meant to include agents, consultants, and developers that are proposing or contemplating projects regardless of their legal ownership of the land. In addition to landowners for which WCA applications were processed during the calendar year, LGUs should report the number of landowner contacts for which they answered questions related to wetlands or WCA, provided preliminary review of projects potentially affecting wetlands, provided advice on wetland boundaries or exemption applicability, or other landowner consultation related to WCA. Meeting with one landowner four times on the same project would count as four landowner contacts for the purpose of answering this question. Newsletters or other such general correspondence do not constitute technical assistance provided to a landowner. Please provide your best estimate.
2. For purposes of this question, “# withdrawn” means that the applicant has submitted an application but withdrew it prior to the LGU making a formal decision.

Replacement plans (Item E) do not include local road authority notifications for projects that qualify for replacement under the BWSR Local Government Roads Wetland Replacement Program (road program) according to MN Rule 8420.0544. These notifications are reported separately and accounted for in BWSR’s road program tracking database. However, non-qualifying road projects completed under a replacement plan (or a combination of banking/project-specific and the BWSR road program) should be reported here.

3. The purpose of this question is to gather data on the amount of wetland lost due to approved exemptions and the frequency of exemption use. The exemptions are organized consistent with the WCA rule order. LGUs should only report exempt impacts for which a decision has been made to approve the exempt impacts. Known wetland impacts due to exemptions for which the LGU has not formally made a decision can be reported under question 13. Report impacts to the nearest .01 acre.

LGUs should only report the acres of wetland *permanently lost* due to exempt activity. For example, true drainage maintenance in accordance with MN Rule 8420.0420, Subpart 3, Item B generally does not require reporting. Reporting temporary impacts each time the ditch or tile is maintained can lead to multiple reporting of impacts to the same acres over time, which is misleading and skews the resulting data. However, exempt wetland impacts due to new ditches or tile lines, existing drainage that has been improved, or deposition of spoil in wetland areas beyond the existing areas of deposition must be reported. Wetlands drained under Item C of the drainage exemption will almost always require reporting.

Check your work to ensure it is correct – the total number of approved exemptions in #3 should equal the sum of approved exemptions in #2. The only exception is when a true drainage maintenance project is not reported in question #3 per the above paragraph.

4. Item A is NOT referring to wetland banking applications, but rather replacement plans that utilize bank credits for replacement. Check your work - the sum of A+B+C should equal the number of replacement plans approved under question #2.
5. The purpose of this question is to collect data on the quantity of wetland acres lost under approved replacement plans. LGUs should sum the number of acres of approved wetland impact (drainage, fill, or regulated excavation) that require replacement. Report total wetland impacts to the nearest .01 acre. *Do not* include acres of wetland impact that do not require replacement by WCA (such as exempt or no-loss activities).
6. For this question, report the total *acres* of replacement and the corresponding number of approved *credits* associated with each action eligible for credit in MN Rule 8420.0526 (the actions are organized consistent with the

WCA rule order). This item pertains to project specific replacement under approved replacement plans only – do not report credit approved under a banking plan or a replacement plan using banking credits.

Example: If an application was approved to restore a 10-acre partially drained wetland at 50% credit with an 8 acre buffer at 25% credit, the LGU would report the following:

- 1) 8 acres under the “acres” column and 2 credits under the “Repl. Credit” column for *upland buffer areas* (Subp.2 ), and
- 2) 10 acres under the “acres” column and 5 credits under the “Repl. Credit” column for *restoration of partially drained or filled wetland areas* (Subp.4).

7. The purpose of this question is to gather data on replacement monitoring compliance and track LGU oversight of replacement plan projects. Note that “construction sites inspected” and “corrective actions ordered” are specific to *replacement wetlands* only.

Note: LGUs should be tracking all approved replacement sites and comparing them with actual monitoring reports received in order to identify issues of non-compliance.

8. This question is related to LGU workload associated with enforcement activities that may or may not get reflected in formal actions or decisions. For this question, LGUs should report potential WCA violation site inspections regardless of what initiated the inspection (DNR enforcement flight, landowner complaint, found by LGU, etc.) or the end result (no violation, cease and desist, restoration order, resolved locally, etc.). This should include site inspections performed by the SWCD separately from the LGU, however, care should be taken not to duplicate numbers. Site investigations resulting from DNR-submitted “ICRs” should also be included in this item.
9. This question is intended to collect data on those instances where the LGU resolves violations informally, through local channels, or through an enforcement order issued by a non-DNR enforcement officer (i.e. a deputy sheriff). Violations that result in the issuance of an order by a DNR enforcement officer should not be reported because that information will be obtained directly from the DNR.
10. Do not include appeals to BWSR.
11. The exclusion of the SWCD in this question is not applicable if the SWCD is the delegated LGU responsible for making final WCA decisions.
12. LGUs should only report training related to WCA administration and relevant wetland science. For example, attending forums or seminars related to wetland delineation, wetland functional assessment, replacement wetland design, and WCA administration should be listed. Attending a seminar on designing wetlands to treat sanitary waste would generally not be applicable because it is not associated with aspects of WCA. Similarly, NRBG reporting in eLINK should not be listed as it is not directly relevant to WCA administration or wetland science.
13. This is your chance to report anything you feel is relevant or important that may not be captured in other questions. Please attempt to limit this information to the maximum 2,500 characters, however, if you have additional relevant information, provide it on a separate document and attach it to the e-mail.

Differences due to implementation of an approved Comprehensive Wetland Protection and Management Plan should be reported on a separate document.

**Contact your [BWSR Wetland Specialist](#) with any questions regarding completion of the reporting form.**