


Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey

What is your county of residence?

Answer Choices	Responses	Mail Responses	Total	Percentage	
McLeod	2.96%	6	5	4.68%	
Nicollet	12.32%	25	9	14.47%	
Renville	0.99%	2	0	0.85%	
Sibley	73.40%	149	18	71.06%	
Other (please specify)	10.34%	21	0	8.94%	
Answered		203	32	235	100.00%
Skipped		0			




Respondents	Response Date	Other (please specify)	Tags
1	Jan 09 2021	0 Le Sueur	
2	Jan 08 2021	1 Blue Earth county	
3	Jan 07 2021	0 Le Sueur	
4	Dec 31 2020	1 Blue Earth	
5	Dec 30 2020	1 Brown	
6	Dec 29 2020	1 both Sibley and McLeod	
7	Dec 23 2020	0 Meeker	
8	Dec 22 2020	0 SD	
9	Dec 22 2020	0 Washington County, MN	

Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey

Please select all of the following items that apply to you:

Answer Choices	Responses	Mail Response	Total	Percentage
Farmer (landowner)	37.93%	77	19	96 40.85%
Farmer (tenant)	10.34%	21	5	26 11.06%
Absentee landowner	4.43%	9	0	9 3.83%
Rural resident	53.69%	109	28	137 58.30%
City/town resident	32.02%	65	0	65 27.66%
Lakeshore/shoreline resident	6.40%	13	0	13 5.53%
Elected official	7.39%	15	2	17 7.23%
Government employee (local, state, or federal)	17.73%	36	2	38 16.17%
Student	0.99%	2	0	2 0.85%
Outdoor enthusiast	51.23%	104	15	119 50.64%
Other (please specify)	4.43%	9	4	13 5.53%
Answered		203	32	235 100.00%
Skipped		0		

Please select all of the following items that apply to you:




Respondents

Response Date Other (please specify) Tags

- 1 Jan 07 2021 0 Riverside landowner
- 2 Jan 07 2021 1: Life long resident in the river valley
- 3 Jan 07 2021 0 dedicated observer and formerly covered news in Sibley County
- 4 Jan 07 2021 0 Business owner
- 5 Dec 30 2020 1 I live in the township, but border on Henderson
- 6 Dec 23 2020 0 retired pharmacist
- 7 Dec 22 2020 0 Industry

Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey
Do you identify with any special interest groups in the area (e.g., farm organizations, church groups, fish/wildlife groups)?

Answer Choices	Responses	Mail Response	Total	Percentage	
No	62.38%	126	13	139	59.40%
Yes (please specify)	37.62%	76	19	95	40.60%
Answered		202	32	234	
Skipped		1			




Respondents	Response Date	Yes (please specify)	Tags
1	Jan 20 2021 0	Ney Nature Center.	
2	Jan 20 2021 0	MN Conservationist. Pheasants Forever	
3	Jan 20 2021 0	farm bureau	
4	Jan 19 2021 0	knight of columbus, ancient order of hibernians	
5	Jan 19 2021 0	corn and soy growers assn, cattlemen's assn	
6	Jan 19 2021 0	Conservations Partners of America (CPA), Green Isle Sportsman Park	
7	Jan 17 2021 0	Active in our church, allow coyote hunting on our property	
8	Jan 17 2021 0	Church, various local wildlife and conservation causes	
9	Jan 17 2021 0	ney nature center, st. johns church, arlington township	
10	Jan 15 2021 0	Gaylord Game Protective League, PE, DU, Conservation Partners	
11	Jan 11 2021 0	Centennial Lutheran Church, Friends of Rush River	
12	Jan 09 2021 0	Parks and trails	
13	Jan 07 2021 0	Ducks unlimited/pheasants forever	
14	Jan 07 2021 0	I have been a member of PF DU CPA in the past	
15	Jan 07 2021 0	Back Country Hunters and Anglers	
16	Jan 07 2021 0	Church,du	
17	Jan 07 2021 0	St Mary's Chruch, Arlington, friends of the Rush River	
18	Jan 07 2021 0	Ffa, 4h, local church	
19	Jan 07 2021 1	Ducks unlimited	
20	Jan 07 2021 1	Hamburg Lions Club	
21	Jan 07 2021 1	Zion Lutheran Church, Buffalo Lake Ambulance, Buffalo Lake Hector Stewart School Board, Buffalo Lake Lions	
22	Jan 07 2021 1	DU	
23	Jan 07 2021 0	Land Stewardship Project, local naturalists	
24	Jan 07 2021 0	wildlife -VFW	
25	Jan 07 2021 0	Pheasant's Forever Sibley County	
26	Jan 01 2021 0	Farm	
27	Dec 31 2020 1	Friends of High Island	
28	Dec 30 2020 1	Ethanol made at Heartland	
29	Dec 29 2020 1	Pheasants Forever - National Wildlife - Audubon	
30	Dec 25 2020 0	Corn/Soybean Growers	
31	Dec 24 2020 0	P/F, DU, Wildlife Alliance	
32	Dec 24 2020 0	Pheasant forever. Duck unlimited Rocky Mnt Elk. Henderson sportsman	
33	Dec 23 2020 0	Farm Bureau, Farmers Union, Scandian Grove Church	
34	Dec 23 2020 0	Corn & Soybean growers,	
35	Dec 23 2020 1	PF	
36	Dec 23 2020 1	Catholic church, pheasants forever, Vfw, ducks unlimited	
37	Dec 23 2020 0	Fish and wildlife and I work in the ag business	
38	Dec 23 2020 0	Big Bucks Anonymous	
39	Dec 23 2020 0	Church, MN Farmers Union	
40	Dec 22 2020 0	Game protective club.	
41	Dec 22 2020 0	Green Isle Sportsman's Club	
42	Dec 22 2020 0	Horseback Riding - FORR	
43	Dec 22 2020 0	pheasents forever,fire dept.	

Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey

How do you use the lakes, ponds, wetlands, streams, rivers, and natural areas in your community? Please select all that apply:

Answer Choices	Responses	Mail	Total	
Wildlife watching	65.52%	133	155	65.96%
Walking, running, or exercising on trails	54.19%	110	121	51.49%
Canoeing, kayaking, or paddle boarding	27.59%	56	62	26.38%
Swimming	22.66%	46	49	20.85%
Motor boating	33.50%	68	80	34.04%
Hunting	47.29%	96	114	48.51%
Fishing	60.59%	123	143	60.85%
Camping	28.57%	58	64	27.23%
Enjoying the view	80.79%	164	190	80.85%
None	4.43%	9	10	4.26%
Other (please specify)	5.91%	12	15	6.38%
Answered		203	32	235
Skipped		0		

How do you use the lakes, ponds, wetlands, streams, rivers, and natural areas in your community? Please select all that apply:




Respondents	Response Date	Other (please specify)	Tags
1	Jan 19 2021	0 farm drainage	
2	Jan 19 2021	0 planting food plots	
3	Dec 23 2020	C Watersports	
4	Dec 22 2020	C Trapping	
5	Dec 22 2020	C Horseback riding	

Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey

How often do you use the lakes, ponds, wetlands, streams, rivers, and natural areas in your area for recreational purposes?

Answer Choices	Responses	Mail	Total	
Monthly or more frequently	43.84%	89	98	41.70%
5-10 times per year	24.63%	50	57	24.26%
A few times per year	21.67%	44	54	22.98%
Less frequently than once every year	5.91%	12	15	6.38%
Never	3.94%	8	10	4.26%
Answered		203	32	235
Skipped		0	0	




Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey

How important are the following natural resources in your area?

ONLINE	very important	somewhat important	a little important	not important	don't know / no opinion	Total					
Rivers and streams	77.72%	157	18.32%	37	1.98%	4	1.49%	3	0.50%	1	202
Wetlands	66.83%	135	23.27%	47	4.95%	10	3.96%	8	0.99%	2	202
Lakes	75.25%	152	20.30%	41	2.97%	6	0.99%	2	0.50%	1	202
Farmland	65.17%	131	20.40%	41	10.95%	22	2.99%	6	0.50%	1	201
Public land	55.94%	113	29.70%	60	9.90%	20	2.97%	6	1.49%	3	202
Private conservation land	48.51%	98	33.17%	67	10.40%	21	3.96%	8	3.96%	8	202
Forests	67.66%	136	24.38%	49	5.97%	12	1.00%	2	1.00%	2	201
Groundwater	84.24%	171	12.32%	25	2.46%	5	0.49%	1	0.49%	1	203
Answered											203
Skipped											0


TOTAL	very important	somewhat important	a little important	not important	don't know / no opinion	Total					
Rivers and streams	77.25%	180	19.31%	45	1.72%	4	1.29%	3	0.43%	1	233
Wetlands	63.95%	149	23.61%	55	6.01%	14	4.72%	11	1.72%	4	233
Lakes	73.71%	171	21.12%	49	3.45%	8	0.86%	2	0.86%	2	232
Farmland	65.95%	153	20.69%	48	9.91%	23	2.59%	6	0.86%	2	232
Public land	53.48%	123	30.43%	70	11.74%	27	3.04%	7	1.30%	3	230
Private conservation land	46.55%	108	32.76%	76	12.93%	30	3.88%	9	3.88%	9	232
Forests	65.22%	150	24.78%	57	6.52%	15	1.30%	3	2.17%	5	230
Groundwater	84.62%	198	12.39%	29	2.14%	5	0.43%	1	0.43%	1	234
Answered											234
Skipped											0



Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey
How important are each of the following water- and resource-related issues to you?

ONLINE	very important	somewhat important	a little important	not important	don't know / no opinion	Total					
Quality of groundwater and drinking water supply	94.06%	190	4.46%	9	1.49%	3	0.00%	0	202		
Groundwater quantity and groundwater sustainability	84.16%	170	12.87%	26	2.48%	5	0.50%	1	0.00%	0	202
Water quality of rivers and streams	78.82%	160	17.24%	35	3.45%	7	0.49%	1	0.00%	0	203
Water quality of lakes	77.83%	158	20.20%	41	1.97%	4	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	203
Function and maintenance of drainage ditches	61.39%	124	22.28%	45	9.90%	20	4.95%	10	1.49%	3	202
Drainage from farm fields	62.38%	126	21.29%	43	7.92%	16	7.92%	16	0.50%	1	202
Appropriate manure management	65.84%	133	26.73%	54	3.96%	8	0.99%	2	2.48%	5	202
Flooding and surface water quantity issues	65.67%	132	24.88%	50	4.98%	10	2.49%	5	1.99%	4	201
Wetland / water storage	60.89%	123	27.72%	56	7.92%	16	1.98%	4	1.49%	3	202
Invasive species	58.13%	118	28.57%	58	11.33%	23	0.99%	2	0.99%	2	203
Impacts of climate change	42.00%	84	30.00%	60	16.50%	33	10.00%	20	1.50%	3	200
Wildlife habitat degradation or loss	68.16%	137	23.88%	48	5.97%	12	1.99%	4	0.00%	0	201
Ravine, stream, and shoreline erosion	63.05%	128	25.12%	51	9.36%	19	1.97%	4	0.49%	1	203
In-field erosion	47.78%	97	33.50%	68	10.84%	22	4.93%	10	2.96%	6	203
Pollutant loading to surface and groundwater	73.63%	148	17.91%	36	4.48%	9	1.00%	2	2.99%	6	201
										Answered	203
										Skipped	0


TOTAL	very important	somewhat important	a little important	not important	don't know / no opinion	Total					
Quality of groundwater and drinking water supply	94.02%	220	4.70%	11	1.28%	3	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	234
Groundwater quantity and groundwater sustainability	83.33%	195	14.10%	33	2.14%	5	0.43%	1	0.00%	0	234
Water quality of rivers and streams	77.45%	182	18.72%	44	3.40%	8	0.43%	1	0.00%	0	235
Water quality of lakes	76.60%	180	21.28%	50	2.13%	5	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	235
Function and maintenance of drainage ditches	61.54%	144	23.50%	55	9.40%	22	4.27%	10	1.28%	3	234
Drainage from farm fields	61.54%	144	23.00%	54	8.12%	19	6.84%	16	0.43%	1	234
Appropriate manure management	64.53%	151	27.35%	64	5.13%	12	0.85%	2	2.14%	5	234
Flooding and surface water quantity issues	64.38%	150	24.46%	57	7.30%	17	2.15%	5	1.72%	4	233
Wetland / water storage	58.55%	137	30.34%	71	7.69%	18	2.14%	5	1.28%	3	234
Invasive species	57.45%	135	28.51%	67	12.34%	29	0.85%	2	0.85%	2	235
Impacts of climate change	39.66%	92	30.60%	71	16.81%	39	11.64%	27	1.29%	3	232
Wildlife habitat degradation or loss	66.81%	155	24.57%	57	6.90%	16	1.72%	4	0.00%	0	232
Ravine, stream, and shoreline erosion	61.70%	145	24.68%	58	10.64%	25	2.55%	6	0.43%	1	235
In-field erosion	48.72%	114	32.91%	77	10.68%	25	4.70%	11	2.99%	7	234
Pollutant loading to surface and groundwater	72.96%	170	18.88%	44	4.29%	10	0.86%	2	3.00%	7	233
										Answered	235
										Skipped	0



Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey
 Are there specific waterbodies or natural resources you are worried about?

Answer	Responses	mail	total	percent
No	51.49%	104	115	49.36%
Yes (what are your specific concerns?)	48.51%	98	118	50.64%
Answered		202	31	233
Skipped		1	1	1

Are there specific waterbodies or natural resources you are worried about?



Response Date	Yes (what are your specific concerns?)	Tags
1 Feb 02 2021 07:24 PM	MN River, Lake Washington, Waconia	
2 Feb 02 2021 05:33 PM	Water quality in our lakes is bad due to run off	
3 Feb 01 2021 07:44 PM	Minnesota River	
4 Jan 30 2021 02:02 PM	Replacing dam on Plaman Lake in Sibley county	
5 Jan 30 2021 01:59 PM	Minnesota River and related watersheds	
6 Jan 28 2021 05:07 PM	Rush River and the ensuing flooding issues on Hwy 93	
7 Jan 28 2021 12:27 PM	I would like to be able swim in Lake Titloe	
8 Jan 28 2021 09:29 AM	Pollution/runoff into area rivers (Minnesota, Blue Earth, etc.)	
9 Jan 28 2021 08:36 AM	Erosion on the County drainage ditch system and the filling in of lake by sediment form stream bank erosion.	
10 Jan 27 2021 04:05 PM	Sediment in the Minnesota River that is a result of increased water flow from upper areas of the MN River Basin - including High Island Creek!	
11 Jan 27 2021 03:57 PM	Drinking water supply and quality, better bodies of water for recreation	
12 Jan 27 2021 03:02 PM	High Island, Titloe, Mn River, Rush River, Clear Lake, Silver Lake, Wetland areas that are being drained, Farnland Tilng without Environmental impact studies,	
13 Jan 26 2021 03:30 PM	Spring touch and other chemicals applied in towns and cities, plus residential use	
14 Jan 26 2021 01:33 PM	They are all inter-related, mismanagement of one impacts the others	
15 Jan 26 2021 12:39 PM	Water in general. Farmers dumping to many nitrates in water runoff	
16 Jan 26 2021 12:00 PM	Local lakes	
17 Jan 25 2021 11:45 AM	Minnesota River and creeks and rivers running into it.	
18 Jan 23 2021 09:50 PM	Last year I canceled the ditch that runs parallel to county 8 and runs into rush river. The ditch banks we almost straight vertical and bare dirt. There were several places where the ditch banks sloughed into the water creating a lot of erosion. That ditch looked nothing like most ditches and it runs directly into the rush river and ultimately the Minnesota and Mississippi. It should be addressed.	
19 Jan 23 2021 04:28 PM	Water quality	
20 Jan 23 2021 10:37 AM	Minnesota River	
21 Jan 23 2021 07:12 AM	High Island lake	
22 Jan 22 2021 03:12 PM	Lakes and rivers	
23 Jan 22 2021 02:47 PM	Rush River and Minnesota River flooding	
24 Jan 22 2021 10:10 AM	All lakes and the Minnesota River. Drain tilng has allowed farm chemicals to be more easily drained into our waterbodies and speed up the delivery of rainfall to our rivers. This is causing an increase in the number of floods and to increase their intensity. They also decrease the recharge of our ground water by not allowing rainfall to be naturally absorbed into the soil and ultimately recharge the aquifer.	
25 Jan 21 2021 09:10 PM	Wetlands being drained	
26 Jan 21 2021 08:25 PM	Minnesota river	
27 Jan 21 2021 07:53 PM	Loss of quality wildlife habitat areas.	
28 Jan 21 2021 07:38 PM	Plastics found in the water, chemical run off and fossil fuel contamination	
29 Jan 21 2021 06:48 PM	Ground water, reservoirs and Minnesota River and then Mississippi River	
30 Jan 21 2021 06:41 PM	Wetlands are disappearing. Littering, polluting the waterways.	
31 Jan 21 2021 05:59 PM	Buffer strip enforcement	
32 Jan 21 2021 12:46 PM	Area lakes and MN River contamination and pollution run off	
33 Jan 21 2021 12:33 PM	Concerned that all the pattern tiling will lower the water table as all the water is running to ditches from the farmland	
34 Jan 21 2021 11:54 AM	Minnesota River - drainage from farm fields. This river is horribly polluted.	
35 Jan 21 2021 10:54 AM	Drainage ditches banks are eroding away	
36 Jan 21 2021 09:32 AM	Flooding of the Minnesota River	
37 Jan 21 2021 08:55 AM	The water quality of High Island lake and the management of the dam (control structures)	
38 Jan 21 2021 07:53 AM	maintaining our drainage ditch systems that have been abused by high water/flooding issues	
39 Jan 20 2021 09:05 PM	My ravine is severely eroding from farm field drain tiles.	
40 Jan 20 2021 05:16 PM	MN River, High Island Creek, Rush River	
41 Jan 20 2021 12:33 PM	the loss of habitat.	
42 Jan 20 2021 09:36 AM	The farm field water run-off which goes through my land and into the Minnesota River.	
43 Jan 20 2021 08:52 AM	Crop sustainability will depend more and more upon man made application products	
44 Jan 20 2021 07:29 AM	MN River	
45 Jan 20 2021 07:06 AM	runoff leading to farmland drainage	
46 Jan 19 2021 08:45 PM	Wetlands. Runoff is clouding the water and sometimes raising water levels too high to be productive. Not enough boundaries of natural vegetation around our wetlands and lakes to mitigate runoff problems and not maximizing the quality of our wetlands	
47 Jan 19 2021 07:02 PM	Minnesota River flooding and the impact on accessibility via Sibley City Rd-6	
48 Jan 19 2021 06:01 PM	preventing stream and ravine erosion	
49 Jan 19 2021 04:59 PM	Minnesota River, Rush River, High Island Creek, Buffalo Creek	
50 Jan 18 2021 08:27 AM	Drainage of the Lake Elin system	
51 Jan 17 2021 02:36 PM	Appreciate the High Island Watershed District drainage ditches being kept up	
52 Jan 17 2021 02:26 PM	High Island Creek bank erosion and flooding	
53 Jan 17 2021 09:31 AM	river water quality, farm drainage system	
54 Jan 16 2021 05:41 PM	High Island, Rush River, Minnesota, Mississippi	
55 Jan 15 2021 08:38 AM	The local lakes and the amount of silt which is filling up the bottoms, causing jam ups and lower water levels	
56 Jan 11 2021 08:43 PM	Rush River Valley. Excessive field tiling causing significant erosion damage, all run off and contamination of natural water sources and increased flooding due to tiling farm fields	
57 Jan 09 2021 10:07 AM	Less and less wetland every year, farmers keep tiling and draining their systems.	
58 Jan 08 2021 07:15 AM	Farm run off and drinking water, impacts to our well based drinking systems.	
59 Jan 08 2021 11:25 AM	most lakes in southern mn are green	
60 Jan 07 2021 09:51 PM	Sloughs/swamps, High Island creek, rush river, mn river	
61 Jan 07 2021 08:43 PM	Farm drainage has gotten out of control. All of the shallow lakes and wetlands in the area are a concern due to nutrient loading.	
62 Jan 07 2021 07:45 PM	Creeks and Rivers being flooded and filled in with field silt	
63 Jan 07 2021 01:35 PM	High Island Creek which goes through our land	
64 Jan 07 2021 12:15 PM	Minnesota river, rush river	
65 Jan 07 2021 10:38 AM	Minnesota River and tributaries flooding.	
66 Jan 07 2021 10:16 AM	all of them	
67 Jan 07 2021 10:05 AM	Minnesota river in Henderson.	
68 Jan 07 2021 10:03 AM	MN River. PLUG THE DRAIN TILES!	
69 Jan 07 2021 09:41 AM	The tending of the Minnesota River which is impacted by drain tiles going directly into ravines. It surprises me that farmers aren't required to have holding ponds for their drain tiles to at least slow the watershed.	
70 Jan 07 2021 09:34 AM	drinking water, the Minnesota River watershed	
71 Jan 07 2021 09:29 AM	The lack of areas for water to pond up after heavy rains. Our streams become rushing disasters because the field tiles drain everything so quickly	
72 Jan 07 2021 09:28 AM	Minnesota River	
73 Jan 07 2021 09:07 AM	Destroying minn river and bottom land with tile	
74 Jan 08 2021 11:16 AM	Field chemical run-off into lakes and rivers	
75 Jan 01 2021 01:03 PM	River bank erosion	
76 Jan 01 2021 12:02 PM	water runoff, erosion, ravine collapse, flooding	
77 Jan 01 2021 09:43 AM	Minnesota River	
78 Dec 31 2020 01:21 PM	Minnesota River riverbank erosion along our property	
79 Dec 30 2020 10:59 AM	Impact of sediment and nutrients to Minnesota River and its tributaries	
80 Dec 29 2020 12:12 PM	Agriculture runoff to the Minnesota River	
81 Dec 29 2020 10:35 AM	the Minnesota River water quality degradation	
82 Dec 28 2020 07:33 AM	I am concerned about the extreme amount of tiling for farming being done and the issues we will have with our local wells and aqua firs in decades.	
83 Dec 24 2020 07:49 PM	Almost all that are left	
84 Dec 24 2020 01:12 AM	High Island Creek erosion	
85 Dec 23 2020 06:49 PM	Minnesota River	
86 Dec 23 2020 02:12 PM	rush river and Minnesota river flooding	
87 Dec 23 2020 12:48 PM	Rush River, Titlo, Silver	
88 Dec 2020 11:59 AM	Local lakes, have bad quality water.	
89 Dec 22 2020 09:41 PM	High water control	
90 Dec 22 2020 04:54 PM	Water Quality of Silver Lake	
91 Dec 22 2020 04:12 PM	water & erosion in ravine on property	
92 Dec 22 2020 03:47 PM	Wetlands and High Island Creek and Minnesota River	
93 Dec 22 2020 03:22 PM	MN River at Henderson	
94 Dec 22 2020 03:10 PM	River/Stream Water - Excess Quarity	
95 Dec 22 2020 03:09 PM	How to increase wetlands, decreasing impact of big rains and runoff from fields. Offer pay for acres for wildlife/pollinator habitat.	
96 Dec 22 2020 02:32 PM	Flooding of rush river park more often in the last 6 years. What's the cause? What's the solution?	
97 Dec 22 2020 02:30 PM	lake titloe	
98 Dec 21 2020 01:59 PM	High Island Creek nutrient loading and bank erosion	

Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey
 Please list any additional comments you have regarding water and natural resource management in your area.
 Answer # _____
 District _____

Comments Received On	Description	Topic
1 Feb 02 2010	1. Thing wetland being mowed and field erosion are managaging problems, wet	
2 Feb 02 2010	2. Invasive weed control and herbicides on cropland	
3 Feb 02 2010	3. This will become much more important as the climate changes	
4 Jan 02 2010	4. Farm and residential soil, atmospheric emissions, pesticides from chemical usage	
5 Jan 02 2010	5. The amount of rain we are receiving flooding areas and erosion in the MR river valley. More regulation is needed as far as how much water is being carried into the river valley.	
6 Jan 02 2010	6. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
7 Jan 02 2010	7. None	
8 Jan 28 2010	8. Reestablishment of the County drainage ditch network would help a lot.	
9 Jan 27 2010	9. Need to make sure that we are not affecting the drainage of the water table and create areas for upland storage of water.	
10 Jan 27 2010	10. Need to make sure that we are not affecting the drainage of the water table and create areas for upland storage of water.	
11 Jan 27 2010	11. Thing is necessary the higher amount of water in the drainage basin.	
12 Jan 27 2010	12. Need to make sure that we are not affecting the drainage of the water table and create areas for upland storage of water.	
13 Jan 27 2010	13. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
14 Jan 27 2010	14. Clean water quality of the water table and create areas for upland storage of water.	
15 Jan 27 2010	15. Large scale farms above our town and watershed. Decisions regarding things and such have been allowing long term and significant damage. The resulting destruction of family farms needs to be lessened. (perhaps that a better soil cover change to the river bed without concern for those properties that have fields that)	
16 Jan 27 2010	16. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
17 Jan 27 2010	17. None	
18 Jan 27 2010	18. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
19 Jan 27 2010	19. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
20 Jan 27 2010	20. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
21 Jan 27 2010	21. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
22 Jan 27 2010	22. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
23 Jan 27 2010	23. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
24 Jan 27 2010	24. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
25 Jan 27 2010	25. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
26 Jan 27 2010	26. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
27 Jan 27 2010	27. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
28 Jan 27 2010	28. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
29 Jan 27 2010	29. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
30 Jan 27 2010	30. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
31 Jan 27 2010	31. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
32 Jan 27 2010	32. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
33 Jan 27 2010	33. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
34 Jan 27 2010	34. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
35 Jan 27 2010	35. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
36 Jan 27 2010	36. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
37 Jan 27 2010	37. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
38 Jan 27 2010	38. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
39 Jan 27 2010	39. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
40 Jan 27 2010	40. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
41 Jan 27 2010	41. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
42 Jan 27 2010	42. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
43 Jan 27 2010	43. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
44 Jan 27 2010	44. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
45 Jan 27 2010	45. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
46 Jan 27 2010	46. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
47 Jan 27 2010	47. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
48 Jan 27 2010	48. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
49 Jan 27 2010	49. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
50 Jan 27 2010	50. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
51 Jan 27 2010	51. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
52 Jan 27 2010	52. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
53 Jan 27 2010	53. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
54 Jan 27 2010	54. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
55 Jan 27 2010	55. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
56 Jan 27 2010	56. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
57 Jan 27 2010	57. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
58 Jan 27 2010	58. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
59 Jan 27 2010	59. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
60 Jan 27 2010	60. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
61 Jan 27 2010	61. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
62 Jan 27 2010	62. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
63 Jan 27 2010	63. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
64 Jan 27 2010	64. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
65 Dec 22 2010	65. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
66 Dec 22 2010	66. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
67 Dec 22 2010	67. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
68 Dec 22 2010	68. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
69 Dec 22 2010	69. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
70 Dec 22 2010	70. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
71 Dec 22 2010	71. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
72 Dec 22 2010	72. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
73 Dec 22 2010	73. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
74 Dec 22 2010	74. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	
75 Dec 22 2010	75. I am not sure if I would like to see such as Lake Itasca	

Lower Minnesota River West Comprehensive Watershed Management Plan Resident Survey

Please provide your contact information below if you are interested in being contacted for any of the following reasons (select all that apply):

Answer Choices	Responses	
\$100 Visa Gift Card Drawing	97.60%	163
Best Management Practice (BMP) implementation opportunities	28.74%	48
Meetings/events related to Plan development and implementation	34.13%	57
	Answered	167
	Skipped	36

